

CA420 Databases II

Isolation and Locking in Practice

Isolation – Four Problem Cases

Lost updates due to undo:

- $w_1[x] \rightarrow w_2[x] \rightarrow a_1$
- if before values are used for undo, $w_2[x]$ might be a lost update

Dirty reads:

- $w_1[x] \rightarrow r_2[x] \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow c_1$
- $r_2[x]$ reads dirty – as yet uncommitted – data

Unrepeatable reads:

- $r_1[x] \rightarrow w_2[x] \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow r_1[x]$
- reads on same item return different values

Lost updates due to interleaving:

- $r_1[x] \rightarrow r_2[x] \rightarrow w_2[x] \rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow w_1[x] \rightarrow c_1$

Isolation in Practice

In practice:

- implementing full serialisability:
 - limits concurrency, and
 - requires substantial overhead
- many DBMSs also support weakened “*degrees of isolation*”

Degrees of Isolation – Degree 0, “Chaos”

Degree 0 isolation:

- known as *chaos*
- locking is *well-formed* wrt. writes
- lets others run at higher levels of isolation

The following are valid degree 0 executions:

- $w_1[x] \rightarrow w_2[x] \rightarrow w_2[y] \rightarrow w_1[y] \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow c_2$ (not CSR)
- $w_1[x] \rightarrow w_2[x] \rightarrow w_2[y] \rightarrow w_1[y] \rightarrow c_1 \rightarrow a_2$ (final value of x ?)

Locking:

- locking is used only to protect individual write operations
- degree 0 transactions will wait only if a transaction operating at a higher degree of isolation holds a conflicting lock until commit

Degrees of Isolation – Degree 1, “Browse”

Degree 1 isolation:

- known as *browse*
- locking is well-formed and *strict two-phase* wrt. writes
- no overwriting of dirty data
- no lost updates due to undo of degree 1 T_i :
 - $w_i[x] \rightarrow w_j[x] \rightarrow a_i$ (cannot happen)

Locking:

- for degree 1 T_i and some item:
 - $w_i[x] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_i/a_i \rightarrow w_j[x]$ (for degree 0 T_j or higher)
 - write \rightarrow write

Degrees of Isolation – Degree 3, “Repeatable Reads”

Degree 3 isolation:

- known as *repeatable reads*
- locking is strict two-phase wrt. both reads and writes
- no lost updates due to undo
 - no dirty reads
 - repeatable reads
 - no lost updates due to interleaving with other degree 3 transactions

Locking:

- for degree 3 T_i and some item:
 - as before, plus:
 - $r_i[x] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_i/a_i \rightarrow w_j[x]$ (for degree 0 T_j or higher)
 - write \rightarrow write, write \rightarrow read and read \rightarrow write
- i.e. strict two-phase locking

Degrees of Isolation – Degree 2, “Cursor Stability”

Degree 2 isolation:

- known as *cursor stability*
- locking is well-formed wrt. reads and writes, and strict two-phase wrt. writes
- no lost updates due to undo
 - no dirty reads from degree 1 or higher transactions

Locking:

- for degree 2 T_i and some item:
 - as before, plus:
 - $w_j[x] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c_j/a_j \rightarrow r_i[x]$ (for degree 1 T_j or higher)
 - write \rightarrow write and write \rightarrow read

Another Problem Case – Phantoms

name	address	age
John	1 The Mews	27
Bill	8 Poplar Avenue	22
Mary	14 The Ashes	33

T_1

T_2

```
select * from people
where age > 25;
```

```
update people set age = 29
where name = 'Bill';
commit;
```

```
select * from people
where age > 25;
```

Phantoms:

- the first select returns John and Mary
- should the second select return John, Mary *and* Bill?

Dealing with Phantoms

With cursor stability, phantoms are ok as transactions do not expect to read a transaction-consistent state

For repeatable reads:

- predicate locking
 - next-key, next item locking:
 - always obtain corresponding lock also on *next* item in table or index when inserting data or scanning a range of values
-

More Information

More information:

- *A theoretical formulation of degrees of isolation in databases*,
Vijayalakshmi Atluri, Elisa Bertino and Sushil Jajodia.
<http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/49154.html>
 - *Transaction Processing: Concepts and Technologies*
Jim Gray and Andreas Reuter
Morgan Kaufmann, ISBN: 1-55860-190-2
-